Case:17-03283-LTS Doc# 7140 Frequent: 2305/19/19 Entered 05/29/19 11:40:15 For the District of Sverto Bico The Financial Oversight and Fromesa Title III Rungement board for Prento Rico No. 17 Br 3283 The Commonwealth of Butto Prico Motion In request that Baraly ration be littled in the Case DDP2014-0673 and others The glaintiff aggreats in his own right and rowests: 1- The gresent case DDP2014-0673 was gresented before the court of certain selective gersecution of the appellant by the criminal authorities.

These have appealed and they have not been given the reason, the very division of resources has given me the reason for the continuous noothers of my airl rights. a citizen contined more than six times. 3- The gresent case is garafyzed by order of the state court of sayamon, which had been ventilating for years before that forum and we were already in the final stage. 4-last January 23, 2019 in the case of gromise an eight amended notice, case management and administrative procedures was issued through which, among other matters, section 3 of the procedures for the handling of the current case is amended to incomporate a revised protocol for the regrests of litting of automatic stay 5-Said protocol establishes that all the garties that intented to submit a motion for the relief of the Baralysis of the title 3, must, comply with paragraph 3Q and give notice of said solution to the poard and the advisory authority financial and fiscal agency withing a yearn of 15 working days grior to its presentation and to meet and conser with the representatives of the state before submitting a reasest for relief from suspension. Nothing in this subsections attects the right of a party to request the consideration of an

6- However, after 23 January 2019, the boston circuit declared the board of Fixal control unconstitutional, to which I am subject under article 3-Q, to give notice of my interer in which the garalysis is littled in a term of 15 days and to meet and confer with the state representatives before submitting the request to relieve the garalysis

7- However, I did it a long time ago and the board received it and they have never responded for in my state of continement can I meet and less to contex with any representative of the state in any of my cases, because I am a prisoner unless the intervention of this honorable court, anyway, is a title and ultra vives exercise to do so because in the end I am forced to exhaust a remedy before an entity that is unconstitutional and therefore integal:

DR2014-0664; DDR2016-0758; DDR2014-0337; DDR2016-0652; KLAN2017-0772; DDR2014-0664; DDR2015-0218; KLAN2017-0777; DDR2014-0249; DDR2017-0772; DDR2016-0480; DDR2016-0591; be ordered to lift the garalysis every time the legal rights gights constitutional and statutory of this citizen are being nolated without any type of cessation or control by the legal Rower. In Addition, the lifting garalysis in these cases does not affect in any way the duty of the state to remedy its debt with the bondholders since these cases of greneating, are only thousands of dolars in low amounts in proportion to the external debt of these.

and ordered to list the garalysis in all cases

Aicres Santang Baer 50 Carr. 5 Mit A-501 Ed 7-3-J Ind-lucheff; Bay P.R. 0961-7403

Doc#:7140 Filed:05/29/19 Entered:05/29/19 Document Page 3 of 3 11:4 RECEIVED & FILED 2019 MAY 29 AM 8: 54 S.J. P.R. 00901-0901 ZA ZINAS